

**Ibarra, Angel**

---

**From:** Johnson, Kenneth  
**Sent:** Friday, July 29, 2016 11:37 AM  
**To:** Ibarra, Angel  
**Subject:** FW: Comment on Baylands Development and EIR

RECEIVED  
JUL 29 2016  
Comm. Dev. Dept. Brisbane

This was copied to the Commission last night.

**From:** Ronald Colonna  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 28, 2016 6:14 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Kenneth  
**Subject:** Comment on Baylands Development and EIR

The main concern of mine regards any potential openings for permitting residential in the Baylands. Words in the 'Notice' like 'Planned Development' alerted me to this possibility.

My apologies for being so ill informed on what has transpired in this long process, and, so, please forgive my reliving concerns that probably have already been talked to death.

But,....what is worrying about any allowances for residential are primarily two fold:

1) I understand that the higher levels of gov't are putting great pressure on communities to do their share in the overpopulating of the Bay Area.

2) The availability of a large tract of undeveloped land such as the Baylandis going to be seen as the obvious location for solving the request. (If it's allowed,....they will build.)

Brisbane faced a similar request for residential permitting from the developer of the Marina area all those many years ago. To fairly represent the community's opinions a university-based polling service from the Midwest conducted a survey which showed much aversion to the idea of an outlying community (with its possibility of different focus from that of a small town). The attitudes and focus of current Brisbane's citizenry may have changed since that survey, but I don't believe that that has been objectively demonstrated.

I believe that the demands of item 1), above, could be substantially served by limiting additional residential development to the Crocker Park area and the adjoining Quarry. ( The Quarry at one time had plans for an Office Park after their shutdown ) If plans are already in place for residential in these areas, I concur and would hope that future 'residential' would be restricted to those areas where the geographical integrity on the sides of San Bruno Mtn. would be maintained.

These notions of physical unity lending to a tighter community focus is a subtle one. Many differences in opinion would still remain, as there are many routes up to the mountain's one peak - same goal.

Respectfully,

Ron Colonna  
PO Box 195  
Brisbane, CA 94005-0195